

9261 Sierra College Boulevard
Roseville, California 95661

916.751.2900
916.751.2979 FAX

pccllp.com

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARD
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008
and
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

DATE RECEIVED:



AUDIT REVIEW #(s) 04487

Assigned To: Leahy

Date Reviewed: 2/24/09

Reviewer's Initials: SL

Date Review(s) Completed: _____

January 21, 2009

To the Management and Board of Directors
California Public Defenders Association
Sacramento, California

We have audited the schedule of expenditures of state awards of California Public Defenders Association for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated January 21, 2009. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated December 22, 2008, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the schedule of expenditures of state awards prepared by management with your oversight is fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the schedule of expenditures of state awards does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our engagement letter.

Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by California Public Defenders Association are described in Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of state awards. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during 2008. We noted no transactions entered into by the Organization during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the schedule of expenditures of state awards and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected.

The disclosures in the financial statements are neutral, consistent, and clear. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosure affecting the financial statements was:

The disclosure of the use of the modified cash basis of accounting in the preparation of the schedule of expenditures of state award in Note 1 to the financial statements, which states that revenue is recognized when earned and that expenses are recognized when paid rather than when incurred.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. No such misstatements were noted during the audit.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction that could be significant to the schedule of expenditures of state award or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated January 20, 2009.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the Organization's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the Organization's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors and management of California Public Defenders Association and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Very truly yours,

 Popp Christensen Benigni LLP

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARD
CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Schedule of Expenditures of State Award	2
Note to the Schedule of Expenditures of State Award	3
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to the State Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Program-Specific Audit Option under OMB Circular A-133	4-5
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	6
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	7

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors
California Public Defenders Association
Sacramento, California

9261 Sierra College Boulevard
Roseville, California 95661

916.751.2900
916.751.2979 FAX

pccllp.com

We have audited the accompanying schedule of expenditures of state award for the Legal Training Program of California Public Defenders Association for the year ended June 30, 2008. This schedule of expenditures of state award is the responsibility of California Public Defenders Association's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the schedule of expenditures of state award of the program based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of expenditures of state award is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the schedule. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the schedule of expenditures of state award. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the schedule of expenditures of state award was prepared on the modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of state award referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the expenditures of the state award under the Legal Training Program in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.



January 21, 2009
Roseville, California

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARD
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

<u>Grantor/Program Title:</u>	<u>Grant No.</u>	
Office of Emergency Services/ Legal Training Program	LT 0710-1060	
<u>Expenditures:</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Expenditures Claimed</u>
Personnel services	\$ 106,287	\$ 106,287
Operating costs	190,713	190,713
Total expenditures	<u>\$ 297,000</u>	<u>\$ 297,000</u>
Funding	\$ 297,000	
Total expenditures claimed		\$ 297,000
Revenue recognized		<u>297,000</u>
Excess of expenditures over revenue recognized		<u><u>\$ -</u></u>

See independent auditors' report and accompanying
note to the schedule of expenditures of state award.

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION

NOTE TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARD
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state award includes the state grant activity of California Public Defenders Association ("the Association"). The Association's grant award for the period is 100 percent state funded, and thus no schedule of income and expenditures for federal funds is included in this report. The schedule of expenditures of state award includes the state grant activity of California Public Defenders Association and is presented on the modified cash basis of accounting, and accordingly, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when paid rather than when the obligation is incurred. It does not include all transactions that might be included using the accrual basis of accounting as contemplated by generally accepted accounting principles. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO THE
STATE PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROGRAM-SPECIFIC AUDIT OPTION UNDER
OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Board of Directors
California Public Defenders Association
Sacramento, California

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of California Public Defenders Association with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to its Legal Training Program for the year ended June 30, 2008. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major state program is the responsibility of California Public Defenders Association's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on California Public Defenders Association's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Legal Training Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about California Public Defenders Association's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of California Public Defenders Association's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, California Public Defenders Association complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its Legal Training Program for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of California Public Defenders Association is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to state programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered California Public Defenders Association's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on its Legal Training Program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California Public Defenders Association's internal control over compliance.

A *control deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a state program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A *material weakness* is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in a more than remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control of compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management, the Board of Directors, others within the entity, and the state awarding agency and pass-through entity and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Roseville UP", is written over the typed name "Roseville UP".

January 21, 2009
Roseville, California

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the schedule of expenditures of state award of California Public Defenders Association ("the Association").
2. No instances of noncompliance material to the schedule of expenditures of state award of the Association, which would be required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, were disclosed during the audit.
3. No reportable conditions relating to the audit of the major state award program are reported in the Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to the State Program on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Program-Specific Audit Option and under OMB Circular A-133.
4. The program tested was the Office of Emergency Services/Legal Training Program.

FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARD

None

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2008

There were no prior audit findings.